Case 1:04-cv-01278-KAJ Document 310-4 Filed 04/28/2006 Page 1 of 68

EXHIBIT 8

Exhibit 8: Pechinev's Objections to Cryovac's Deposition Designations

KELLY RAY AHLGREN 8/10/2005	
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
48:11, 12, 14, 15	FRE 602, FRE 701, FRE 802
72:18-73:4	FRE 701, FRE 802
74:7-16	FRE 701, FRE 802
80:14-81:2, 81:10-82:7	FRE 602, FRE 701, FRE 802
101:21-103:1	FRE 602, FRE 701, FRE 802
107:16, 17, 107:20-108:3	FRE 602, FRE 701
158:18-20, 158:22-159:6	FRE 602, FRE 701
229:11-232:2	FRE 403, FRE 602, FRE 701

DUANE BEULOW 7/27/2005	
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
60:20-22; 61:20-62:2	FRE 602
83:2; 83:5-9	FRE 602
112:7-8; 112:11-15; 112:17-	FRE 602, FRE 701
113:3	
124:22-125:3; 125:6-7	FRE 602, FRE 802
127:17-20; 128:5-13; 141:3-	FRE 602, FRE 802
142:2; 142:15-143:9; 143:21-	
144:16; 145:12-22; 146:8-10;	
146:12-14; 146:19-147:21;	uus siin na saa saa saa saa saa saa saa saa saa
148:1-9; 149:11-16; 150:3-6;	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
151:8-9; 152:3-6; 153:1-13;	una a
153:20-154:5; 154:10-17;	os unimerators
156:21-157:5; 158:4-7	
164:10-16; 165:18-166:11	FRE 602, FRE 802

RICHARD COMPANY 8/23/2005	
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
44:22-45:7	FRE 602
52:2-53:8	FRE 602, FRE 802

MICHAEL DOUGLAS 8/3/2005	
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
141:5-10	FRE 403
142:22-143:4, 144:14-145:1,	FRE 403, FRE 602, FRE 802
145:16-146:8	
148:19-21, 151:4-7, 154:8-19,	FRE 403, FRE 602, FRE 802
160:9-16, 160:21-162:6,	
162:13-16	
191:12-21	FRE 602
210:17-19, 211:62-11, 212:15-	FRE 402, FRE 403, FRE 602
213:8, 214:11-215:14	FRE 802

MICHAEL DOUGLAS - 30(B)(6)	
8/3/2005	
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
	N/A

ENNIS M. FANT 7/19/2005	
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
23:18-20; 24:1	FRE 602, FRE 701
44:22-45:15	FRE 402, FRE 403, FRE 602
46:10-13	FRE 402, FRE 403, FRE 602
54:21-55:9	FRE 402, FRE 403
132:11-133:1; 133:3; 133:5-8	FRE 402, FRE 403
133:10-14	FRE 402, FRE 403
133:15-16: 133:18	FRE 402, FRE 403, FRE 701

STEVEN L. FULLER 8/18/2005	
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
111:25-112:5; 113:4-12;	FRE 403, FRE 602, FRE 701
113:24-114:6; 114:16-115:4	

STEVEN B. GARLAND 8/9/2005	
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
43:6-9; 44:8-10; 83:1-4; 83:6-7	FRE 602, FRE 701, FRE 802

THOMAS GRABOWSKI 7/29/05	
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
61:21-62:5	FRE 802
74:16-76:2	FRE 402, FRE 602, FRE 701,
	FRE 802
77:13-78:2	FRE 403, FRE 602, FRE 701
85:8-87:19	FRE 602, FRE 701
99:17-100:14	FRE 602
105:14-106:7	FRE 802
119:21-120:4	FRE 602
123:3-124:7	FRE 602, FRE 802
126:10-19	FRE 802
167:22-170:11	FRE 602
178:11-180:1	FRE 802
187:11-188:18	FRE 403, FRE 602, FRE 802
197:1-10	FRE 602
218:3-219:5	FRE 802
236:13-238:4	FRE 602, FRE 701
252:19-253:14	FRE 602
255:21-257:9	FRE 602

STEVEN JAMES	
9/7/2005	
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
	N/A

Case 1:04-cv-01278-KAJ Document 310-4 Filed 04/28/2006 Page 12 of 68

TOMM	
8/16/2005	
Cryovac's Designations Pechiney's Objections	
	l N/A

	KITCHEL 8/2005
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
86:9-87:2	FRE 402, FRE 403, FRE 602
87:11-17	FRE 402, FRE 403, FRE 602
88:6-89:9	FRE 402, FRE 403, FRE 602
95:22 - 96:12	FRE 402, FRE 403, FRE 602

CHAD MUELLER 7/7-8/2005			
Cryovac's Designations Pechiney's Objections			
203:4-17	FRE 602, FRE 802		
204:5-204:10	FRE 602, FRE 802		
272:4-11, 293:1-4	FRE 402, FRE 403, FRE 602		

GAUTAM P. SHAH 8/11/2005	
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
60:16-19; 61:1-3	FRE 403, FRE 701
118:20-119:8	FRE 602, FRE 701

GAUTAM P. SHAH – 30(B)(6) 8/11/2005		
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections	
9:17-21	FRE 403, FRE 701	
17:17-18:10	FRE 403, FRE 701	
31:3-5; 31:20-32:1	FRE 403, FRE 701	
34:9-12; 34:21-22; 35:3-4	FRE 403, FRE 701	
36:7-9; 36:12-17; 36:19-20	FRE 403, FRE 701	
37:16-18; 37:21-38:1	FRE 403, FRE 701	
38:6-15	FRE 403, FRE 701	

F. DAVID STRINGER	
8/19/2005	
Cryovac's Designations Pechiney's Objections	
	N/A

ROBERT TAYLOR		
7/14/2005		
Cryovac's Designations Pechiney's Objections		
	N/A	

ROBERT TAYLOR – 30(B)(6) 11/10/2005		
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections	
27:16-28:7	FRE 402	
33:4-9	FRE 402	
33:11-36:6	FRE 701	
47:18-49:21	FRE 602, FRE 701	
52:10-16	FRE 402	
78:17-79:7	FRE 602	
88:10-89:5	FRE 402	
99:13-100:5	FRE 602	
111:4-116:10	FRE 602, FRE 701	
142:10-19	FRE 602, FRE 701	
156:12-157:7	FRE 602	

TERRY L. WILKERSON 8/24/2005	
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections
207:8-209:4	FRE 402, FRE 403, FRE 602,
	FRE 802

GEORGE WOFFORD 8/12/2005		
Cryovac's Designations	Pechiney's Objections	
81:14-20, 82:1-7	FRE 403, FRE 602, FRE 701	
84:21-85:13, 86:7-88:1	FRE 403, FRE 602	
99:17-19, 99:22-100:4, 100:6-8, 100:11-22	FRE 403, FRE 602	
145:1-2, 145:5-10	FRE 602	
162:13-18, 163:1-8	FRE 403, FRE 602, FRE 802	
208:2-16	FRE 403, FRE 602, FRE 802	
235:1-4	FRE 403, FRE 602	

Case 1:04-cv-01278-KAJ Document 310-4 Filed 04/28/2006 Page 22 of 68

EXHIBIT 9

Exhibit 9: Pechiney's Deposition Designations and Cryovac's Counter-Designations

Kelley Ray Ahlgren 8/10/2005	Pechiney Designations	6:9-12; 6:17-19; 8:21-9:11; 10:7-15; 10:22-11:6; 11:11- 12:7; 23:15-17; 24:12-21; 26:20-27:6; 28:17-29:14; 31:21-32:6; 61:14-62:5; 66:20-67:17; 87:8-88:20; 109:6- 20; 131:6-20; 162:22-163:6; 178:22-181:13; 185:6- 186:10; 199:4-9; 200:15-201:14
	Cryovac Counter- Designations	31:9-20; 32:8-10; 32:18-20; 48:11-12; 48:14-15; 55:2-8; 55:22-56:11; 57:20-58:3; 58:5-7; 67:20-68:2; 68:18-22; 69:5-13; 72:18-73:4; 74:7-16; 77:4-10; 77:13-78:3; 78:6-15; 78:20-79:1; 79:3-4; 79:6-14; 80:14-81:1; 81:10-82:7; 82:14-84:6; 85:4-5; 85:7; 85:9-15; 101:21-103:1; 107:16-17; 107:20-108:3; 159:18-20; 159:22-160:6; 181:15-182:1; 182:4-7; 199:10-11; 199:13-17; 203:2-8; 223:10-12; 223:14-20; 224:3-14; 229:11-232:2.
Karl Deily 8/19/2005	Pechiney Designations	10:9-11:22; 33:2-17; 36:13-50:22; 51:3-52:12; 72:5-74:19; 78:17-82:20; 86:20-90:1; 90:3-93:21; 94:13-98:19; 100:3-104:9; 104:11-106:18; 116:7-119:6; 127:4-128:10; 138:16-139:5; 143:3-147:3; 148:4-151:11; 158:8-160:19; 165:5-169:9; 170:8-16; 172:5-177:13; 182:7-184:5; 185:11-186:21; 225:21-227:11; 232:10-240:13; 244:10-263:12; 260:8-263:12; 284:2-294:2; 294:6-298:17; 298:19-20; 301:4-304:3; 304:13-305:14; 305:20-307:13
	Cryovac Counter- Designations	34:13-36:2; 36:4-11*; 52:13-15*; 52:17-21*; 77:8-10*; 77:12-16*; 77:20-78:1*; 78:4-8*; 78:10-15*; 82:21-83:1; 83:4-8*; 83:10-11*; 83:14-17*; 84:17-19*; 84:22-85:7*; 85:10-86:8*; 86:11-14*; 119:7-8; 137:9-13; 137:17-138:15; 142:5-10; 142:17-143:1; 152:4-16; 170:20-171:12; 181:5-8; 181:18-182:6; 188:3-13; 195:22-196:4; 196:14-17; 197:2-8; 197:13-198:5; 200:15-201:12; 201:16-20; 227:12-230:3; 230:6-231:5; 231:7; 231:17-232:3; 241:3-22; 242:4-7; 242:9-11; 242:13-243:4; 243:6-9; 243:11-21; 282:13-20

^{*}Cryovac only counter-designates this testimony if its objections to Pechiney's designations are overruled.

Ennis M. Fant 7/19/2005	Pechiney Designations	6:11-14; 7:6-9; 8:22-9:-17; 10:3-7; 20:3-23:17; 24:19-29:18; 31:16-32:4; 33:7-34:16; 34:20-36:7; 42:9-19; 45:16-46:9; 46:14-47:3; 50:9-19; 55:10-56:9; 57:9-62:2; 67:1-10; 67:18-68:2; 75:7-76:6; 78:4-79:1; 83:2-84:11; 89:22-92:5; 93:5-94:12; 95:17-96:3; 100:7-102:7; 102:18-105:20; 109:7-18; 113:8-114:3; 119:6-120:10; 124:22-125:21; 127:12-14; 127:20-129:12
	Cryovac Counter- Designations	30:6-12; 30:18-31:8; 31:10-15; 36:15-22; 39:19-22; 44:22-45:15; 46:10-13; 47:7-48:14; 54:21-55:9; 68:3-6; 68:9-69:4; 105:21-106:15; 132:11-133:1; 133:3; 133:5-8; 133:10-16; 133:18; 133:22-135:5
Steven L. Fuller 8/18/2005	Pechiney Designations	4:10-5:22; 6:15-18; 23:3-5; 28:19-21; 33:15-18; 35:12- 36:24; 39:16-41:3; 80:17-93:10
	Cryovac Counter- Designations	47:14-19; 111:25-112:5; 113:4-12; 113:24-114:6; 114:16- 115:4
Jeffrey Gardner 8/2/2005	Pechiney Designations	8:14-17; 12:5-17; 11:12-12:17; 15:10-16:2; 16:15-21; 20:3-21:6; 22:17-23:17; 24:16-26:19; 28:5-33:19; 34:3-36:4; 37:11-38:14; 38:21-39:13; 40:4-41:5; 41:11-42:22; 43:20-58:14; 60:14-21; 62:2-63:18; 63:22-64:16; 87:14-88:12; 90:2-16; 95:2-96:22; 97:6-102:10; 134:18-144:5; 171:12-173:19; 177:13-183:17; 184:15-194:9; 200:2-204:1; 209:6-212:11; 212:12-215:10; 215:11-220:5; 220:11-221:21; 226:14-227:6; 257:11-259:11
	Cryovac Counter- Designations	13:11-14:1; 14:11-15; 16:3-14; 18:1-7; 18:14-15; 21:7-22:14; 23:18-24:15; 27:3-9; 27:16-28:3; 36:12-16; 39:14-40:3; 41:6-10; 43:1-4; 43:6-7; 58:15-59:13; 59:19-60:4; 71:2-6; 88:13-90:1; 90:21-91:6; 92:1-12; 94:1-7; 94:14-95:1; 97:1-5; 102:11-103:7*; 103:15-20; 103:22-104:21; 106:11-16; 106:21-109:15; 116:6-12; 133:1-134:9; 151:1-4; 156:2-5; 194:10-195:4*; 195:6-198:10*; 198:12-202:1*; 220:6-10*; 221:22-222:12*

^{*}Cryovac only counter-designates this testimony if its objections to Pechiney's designations are overruled.

	1 1-	10 10 14 0 10 00 10 01 12 01 10 2 2 10 12 10 1 10 10
Stephen B. Garland 8/9/2005	Pechiney Designations	8:10-14; 8:18-22; 12:21-13:21; 18:3-5; 18:15-19:1; 19:10-12; 25:13-16; 26:8-27:18; 38:21-42:3; 44:13-45:10; 52:8-16; 53:6-20; 79:1-79:16; 98:3-100:16; 101:18-102:15; 110:11-115:10; 128:16-133:21; 136:4-137:5; 149:20-152:12; 155:1-21; 156:19-157:4; 160:12-162:18; 163:19-168:15; 169:11-15
	Cryovac Counter- Designations	10:22-11:4; 43:6-9; 44:8-10; 83:1-4; 83:6-7
Steve James 9/7/2005	Pechiney Designations	5:12-15; 7:22-8:6; 8:16-10:6; 11:6-12:24; 13:21-18:5; 21:1-22:13; 25:6-22; 26:13-29:19; 30:5-15; 31:1-32:1; 32:9-21; 35:22-36:22; 40:12-41:14; 48:20-49:20; 50:4-51:25
	Cryovac Counter- Designations	7:20-21; 18:17-22; 19:14-20:23; 29:20-21*; 30:2-4*; 33:8-15; 33:22-25; 34:8-35:8; 37:9-14; 37:19-38:2; 38:18-23; 39:4-5; 39:8-40:11; 41:15-47:4; 47:8-24; 53:2-10
Tommy Kay 8/16/2005	Pechiney Designations	3:22-23; 4:1-5; 8:20-22; 12:18-19; 18:12-16; 19:11-20:5; 22:16-23:14; 23:25-24:18; 24:23-25:12; 26:4-30:10; 33:24-35:3; 35:15-39:16; 40:12-42:2; 42:10-45:15; 46:19-47:8; 48:10-51:12; 54:3-55:16; 58:1-59:9; 60:24-62:15; 63:25-67:24; 68:16-76:9; 76:23-85:9; 90:1-8; 95:24-96:6; 103:21-105:1; 105:24-106:3; 110:13-111:2; 112:2-114:15; 115:9-12; 115:16-116:16; 116:20-122:12; 122:22-124:2; 125:4-22; 126:9-127:9; 128:4-129:17; 135:21-136:4; 136:20-140:5; 140:13-145:10; 146:2-147:3; 148:13-152:1; 153:14-18; 157:8-13; 158:13-159:2; 161:14-162:1; 163:8-10; 167:6-171:10; 173:7-174:4; 174:18-176:15; 176:23-177:5; 189:2-195:5; 198:21-25; 201:11-14; 201:22-202:14
	Cryovac Counter- Designations	6:3-11; 6:23-7:13; 8:23-25; 9:3-16; 10:3-11; 12:20-14:9; 14:17-15:3; 16:3-9; 16:15-17:2; 39:17-22; 39:24-40:11; 46:11-15; 52:12-53:5; 55:17-56:7; 68:5-15; 92:13-15; 127:10-19; 129:18-24; 172:11-21; 195:21-196:25; 203:13-25; 204:4-7

^{*}Cryovac only counter-designates this testimony if its objections to Pechiney's designations are overruled.

^{*}Cryovac only counter-designates this testimony if its objections to Pechiney's designations are overruled.

Mark Quatt	Pechiney	6:7-7:13; 10:13-11:20; 12:2-18; 14:2-15:4; 15:8-16;
	Designations	15:18-16:6; 17:18-18:2; 18:11-16; 20:18-21:2; 22:3-18;
8/12/2005	***************************************	23:5-9; 23:21-24:4; 25:17-26:7; 26:17-27:8; 33:19-34:5;
		38:19-39:16; 39:21-40:20; 43:14-44:7; 45:16-46:22; 47:1-
		5; 49:17-51:3; 51:13-52:21; 54:6-11; 55:10-56:14; 57:10-
	4144	18; 60:6-61:1; 61:9-16; 67:12-68:8; 69:21-70:5; 70:11-
		71:2; 71:12-22; 72:9-74:16; 74:21-75:4; 79:15-80:7;
		80:18-85:6; 85:21-86:3; 87:11-89:5; 90:17-93:9; 94:2-6;
		97:22-98:8; 105:7-108:6; 115:17-22; 116:4-117:16;
		124:10-16; 126:2-127:16; 128:14-129:8; 130:14-22;
		134:9-135:16; 136:7-11; 138:8-142:13; 142:21-143:13;
		144:3-14; 147:16-148:12; 150:11-151:4; 159:15-160:6;
**************************************		163:15-164:16; 171:14-174:7; 174:11-175:13; 176:17-
		177:11; 202:7-12; 203:6-15; 204:12-15; 206:11-207:5;
		208:3-17; 210:10-219:14; 220:20-223:15; 228:1-13;
	}	235:20-236:10; 236:15-19
	Cryovac	8:6-14; 11:21-12:1; 21:7-12; 22:19-23:4; 23:12-20; 41:5-
	Counter-	42:4; 61:17-62:8; 68:9-69:3; 72:1-8; 119:7-120:11;
	Designations	135:17-19; 144:15-145:8; 148:13-149:2; 155:17-157:7;
-		165:14-18; 165:21-166:22; 169:19-171:3; 229:17-230:4;
		230:11-15

^{*}Cryovac only counter-designates this testimony if its objections to Pechiney's designations are overruled.

	Y	
Gautam P. Shah	Pechiney	8:10-17; 12:2-4; 13:10-15; 14:6-9; 14:18-16:20; 17:8-
	Designations	19:15; 27:2-28:5; 32:9-32:22; 33:12-35:3; 35:15-36:7;
8/11/2005		41:1-45:3; 48:16-49:2; 50:21-51:22; 59:2-16; 63:5-64:4;
		64:17-65:4; 65:10-67:8; 67:20-68:8; 70:2-71:19; 74:2-
		75:15; 79:4-82:12; 83:1-84:21; 85:5-91:1; 91:17-93:1;
		94:13-95:1; 96:7-22; 99:18-22; 100:11-111:10; 104:10-
		105:5; 105:20-118:19; 121:14-123:5; 128:4-20; 129:16-
		130:22; 131:12-132:21; 133:15-135:10; 135:15-18;
		136:22-138:9; 138:22-143:5; 143:8-11; 144:15-146:7;
		149:10-151:15; 152:7-152:10; 154:19-156:14; 157:1-
		160:9; 166:4-167:6; 167:18-168:4; 168:16-169:20;
		170:20-171:21; 172:3-8; 173:2-11; 175:22-177:13;
	ļ	178:13-179:11; 179:21-180:16; 192:4-193:8; 194:2-199:2;
		201:3-14; 206:21-213:1; 213:15-214:5; 214:15-215:19;
		216:21-217:18; 223:1-9; 226:7-230:12; 234:11-15; 235:1-
		236:17; 237:5-241:16; 243:17-244:5; 245:15-253:7;
		254:4-255:14; 258:10-259:11
	Cryovac	11:14-12:21; 13:16-14:5; 35:5-8; 35:10-13; 36:8-18;
	Counter-	47:21-48:15; 55:17-19; 55:21-56:4; 59:18-20; 59:22-60:6;
	Designations	60:16-19; 61:1-6; 61:8-15; 64:5-16; 73:2-19; 73:21-22;
		95:3-8; 95:20-96:6; 100:1-4; 118:20-119:8; 123:6-11;
		127:17-19; 127:21-128:2; 128:22-129:1; 129:3-4; 146:8-
		9; 146:21-148:3; 148:5-20; 148:22-149:8; 169:22-170:2;
		170:4-6; 178:3-4; 178:6-8; 180:17-181:4; 181:6-14
	THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Gautam P. Shah	Pechiney	6:16-22; 7:15-8:5; 8:22-9:5; 12:7-21; 15:1-9; 20:4-28:8;
	Designations	29:6-9; 29:17-31:1; 32:18-33:2; 39:6-40:15; 49:15-50:2
30(B)(6)		
	Cryovac	6:9-13; 9:17-21; 10:19-21; 11:3-10; 15:10-12; 15:16-16:4;
8/11/2005	Counter-	16:16-18:10; 19:21-20:3; 31:3-5; 31:20-32:1; 34:9-12;
0/ 1/ 1/ 100 00	Designations	34:21-22; 35:3-4; 36:7-9; 36:12-17; 36:19-20; 37:16-18;
		37:21-38:1; 38:6-15; 40:21-41:5
		w.,

^{*}Cryovac only counter-designates this testimony if its objections to Pechiney's designations are overruled.

	1	
F. David Stringer	Pechiney	3:21-22; 4:13-18; 19:25-20:9; 54:4-55:22; 63:20-25;
	Designations	71:22-73:24; 75:6-76:8; 77:17-89:5; 91:25-92:14; 94:23-
8/19/2005		96:4; 97:6-98:13; 99:23-25; 100:20-118:9; 123:2-125:23;
		145:17-146:8
	Cryovac	5:1-8; 5:17-20; 6:22-7:2; 55:23-56:5; 118:10-14; 118:17-
	Counter-	20; 125:24-126:6; 126:9-129:25; 144:6-11
	Designations	
Terry Wilkerson	Pechiney	10:5-19; 12:2-19:24; 20:5-23:3; 23:13-25; 24:24-37:11;
	Designations	37:15-39:4; 39:10-40:3; 40:19-41:18; 42:5-43:16; 46:21-
8/24/2005		47:18; 50:20-51:11; 51:12-25; 56:11-57:24; 58:3-11;
		58:20-59:15; 61:22-63:23; 71:6-14; 73:7-75:4; 76:11-
		77:24; 77:25-81:6; 87:1-88:2; 88:3-89:8; 90:12-94:19;
		95:1-96:1; 96:8-99:4; 102:8-104:17; 106:16-107:15;
		113:24-114:14; 114:25-116:1; 118:7-127:8; 129:15-
		130:13; 137:23-139:15; 141:3-142:12; 143:2-23; 145:4-
		21; 154:5-155:21; 156:2-14; 156:21-157:22; 159:4-21;
	***************************************	168:17-169:1; 169:12-16; 173:19-22; 175:20-25; 179:16-
		19; 180:5-9; 181:20-182:1; 182:24-183:7; 184:11-22;
		186:12-187:11; 188:10-189:4; 189:7-19; 198:2-18; 199:5-
		9; 225:10-20; 226:14-228:13; 229:24-232:1; 232:9-20
), 250.10 20, 220.1 · 220.12, 200.2 · 250.1, 250.5
	Cryovac	19:25-20:4; 24:1-3; 40:13-18; 52:1-7; 57:25-58:2; 107:23-
	Counter-	24; 108:4-111:16; 112:1-113:20; 114:15-24; 116:2-118:6;
	Designations	127:17-128:9; 129:2-14; 130:14-17; 131:12-132:21;
	Designations	133:11-12; 134:1-2; 134:6-136:3; 136:18-21; 137:2-22;
		142:13-16; 143:24-144:19; 144:24-25; 145:3; 145:9-13;
		145:24-148:11; 148:14-151:10; 151:15-24; 152:1-153:3;
		155:22-156:1; 156:15-20; 157:23-25; 158:10-12; 158:22-
		159:3; 159:22-160:20; 161:17-25; 170:14-171:13; 171:24-
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		173:1; 174:15-19; 175:11-19; 182:2-23; 183:8-184:10;
		189:20-23; 190:3-4; 199:10-25; 202:22-203:12; 206:4-12;
		206:22-207:7; 212:1-213:13; 213:21-215:13; 216:6-18;
		218:3-219:12; 219:16-17; 219:20-220:10; 221:13-222:17;
	TO COMPANY OF THE PARTY OF THE	223:9-17; 226:3-6; 232:21-233:1

^{*}Cryovac only counter-designates this testimony if its objections to Pechiney's designations are overruled.

	Pechiney	7:10-17; 28:14-29:11; 37:4-38:22; 60:13-20; 69:14-70:4;
Garth L. Wilkes 7/20/2005	Designations	101:17-102:13; 107:21-108:7; 120:7-121:14; 156:21-157:15; 165:19-20; 166:2-167:10; 169:16-176:14; 186:3-190:21; 200:1-203:21; 220:4-222:18; 233:11-234:20; 235:4-16; 236:7-13; 237:8-238:10; 239:5-12; 240:5-15; 252:5-21; 256:21-258:15; 259:6-19; 263:21-264:5; 266:6-267:21; 269:11-270:15; 274:22-276:13; 319:4-320:14; 326:19-327:11; 328:8-329:10; 329:21-330:6; 331:2-5; 332:4-333:11
	Cryovac Counter- Designations	15:2-6; 16:14-17:2; 29:12-21; 39:1-8; 60:21-61:22; 62:15-64:11; 66:15-68:2; 70:5-19; 99:15-100-15; 100:19-101:6; 101:9-12; 102:18-103:9; 106:12-107:4; 107:6-19; 109:11-11:15; 167:11-168:11; 168:13-169:15; 190:22-191:9; 191:11-192:8; 204:12-14; 204:16-205:13; 205:16-206:3; 206:5-206:14; 206:16-207:3; 229:14-230:16; 232:18-233.6; 239:14-240:4; 240:17-22; 241:2-242:9; 242:11-243:7; 252:22-253:3; 253:16-20; 254:11-255:10; 255:12-256:20; 259:20-260:8; 264:6-9; 292:13-293:6; 294:4-295:4; 297:7-11; 297:14-298:3; 298:5-13; 300:20-301:15; 301:17-302:3; 307:17-309:4; 311:7-9; 311:11-312:21; 316:18-317:3; 321:22-322:10; 329:11-20; 331:6-12; 333:12-14; 334:2-8; 334:11-337:20; 337:22-338:12; 338:20-340:4; 340:6-9
Jay Wilson 11/3/2005	Pechiney Designations	4:12-17; 12:22-13:13; 15:3-17:10; 18:13-25:17; 31:11-39:19; 43:9-22; 50:5-52:17; 67:9-21; 69:9-70:5; 73:19-78:8; 83:5-84:13; 86:2-87:16; 88:13-93:14; 98:5-103:1; 103:21-104:5; 105:7-107:21; 108:8-110:13; 113:10-114:6
	Cryovac Counter- Designations	12:7-8; 12:13-21; 39:20-41:8*; 42:12-14; 42:16-43:8; 53:6-20*; 53:22-54:2*; 54:4-55:4*; 55:6*; 55:8-10*; 55:12*; 55:14-19*; 55:21-56:2*; 56:4-12*; 56:14-15*; 56:17-59:16*; 60:12-61:1*; 62:17-63:3*; 66:22-67:2; 67:5-7; 67:22-68:14*; 70:6-19; 84:19-85:4*; 85:11-86:1; 97:7-98:4*

^{*}Cryovac only counter-designates this testimony if its objections to Pechiney's designations are overruled.

George Wofford 8/12/2005	Pechiney Designations	8:6-10; 8:16-18; 10:14-21; 11:2-10; 14:8-10; 15:9-17:10; 18:10-22; 24:13-25:1; 31:2-6; 31:18-32:6; 32:16-19; 36:11-37:9; 38:15-39:1; 40:2-9; 40:19-41:4; 80:8-81:3; 81:11-18; 82:9-83:20; 105:17-20; 142:6-10; 144:15-145:10; 155:17-156:4; 156:16-18; 168:5-169:7; 171:12-
	Cryovac	14; 179:20-181:13; 185:19-186:6; 186:21-187:5; 187:22- 189:1; 190:10-15; 191:5-192:4; 193:4-20; 201:18-202:2 11:11-14; 12:14-19; 14:11-16; 33:8-13; 34:4-6; 34:8-15; 81:4-10; 81:19-20; 82:1-7; 83:22-84:9; 84:21-85:13; 86:7-
	Counter- Designations	16; 86:18-87:2; 87:5-14; 87:16-88:1; 92:7-12; 98:22-99:10; 99:13-15; 99:17-19; 99:22-100:4; 100:6-8; 100:11-22; 149:21-150:7; 154:8-9; 154:12; 154:14-155:5; 156:5-7; 156:9-14; 160:4-6; 160:8-10; 162:13-18; 163:1-8; 164:7-11; 164:16-22; 167:13-20; 167:22-168:3; 186:9-20; 189:5-15; 193:21-194:2; 194:4-13; 195:22-196:5; 196:7-12; 196:15-197:8; 197:11; 197:13-17; 203:18-204:13; 208:2-16; 225:1-226:2; 228:15-229:19; 231:6-21; 232:13-
		234:2; 235:1-4; 236:8-237:17; 238:12-239:7

^{*}Cryovac only counter-designates this testimony if its objections to Pechiney's designations are overruled.

Anthony York	Pechiney	9:8-22; 11:22-12:9; 24:9-17; 25:11-14; 26:8-27:5; 27:13-
	Designations	29:15; 30:5-31:8; 36:21-38:12; 38:18-21; 41:3-17; 42:10-
8/9/2005		43:10; 46:13-47:10; 51:11-53:11; 70:5-9; 72:4-14; 74:20-
		75:1; 75:18-77:22; 82:8-83:7; 87:18-92:3; 121:13-122:10;
		123:1-123:15; 153:1-159:22; 166:5-14; 196:3-15; 200:4-
		201:1; 225:1-226:1; 232:22-233:14; 235:5-8; 235:20-
		236:1; 236:12-237:11; 237:19-238:19; 239:4-7; 243:15-
		245:2; 278:2-5; 278:13-22; 281:5-11; 284:19-288:1;
	-	289:7-17; 291:4-292:2; 294:2-297:8; 297:19-298:1;
	******	299:1-300:8; 304:21-306:4; 307:2-308:15; 308:22-309:22;
		316:1-319:11; 320:21-323:2; 323:10-16
	Cryovac	16:19-22; 21:1-22:6; 22:16-23:5; 23:11-15; 23:19-22;
	Counter-	24:18-25:8; 27:6-12; 29:16-30:4; 38:22-39:3; 53:21-54:9;
	Designations	54:19-55:3; 70:10-13; 71:4-8; 71:18-72:3; 74:7-11; 78:1-
		11; 85:14-86:12; 86:16-87:17; 92:7-96:16; 97:2-101:1;
		103:20-106:4; 109:7-111:22; 120:18-121:12; 122:22;
		123:16-124:1; 124:4-12; 125:2-9; 125:12-18; 167:21-
		168:5; 168:11-169:16; 170:3-8; 173:19-174:1; 174:4-
		175:14; 175:21-176:7; 186:17-188:16; 188:18-189:7;
		196:16-17; 197:2-10; 198:12-199:5; 203:1-8; 206:13-
		207:12; 207:14; 207:16-17; 207:22-208:1; 208:3-208:22;
		209:6-16; 227:21-228:8; 228:11-228:16; 228:20-230:2;
		242:7-15*; 292:6-17*; 306:6-22; 311:11-315:16; 320:4-
		16

^{*}Cryovac only counter-designates this testimony if its objections to Pechiney's designations are overruled.

Case 1:04-cv-01278-KAJ Document 310-4 Filed 04/28/2006 Page 33 of 68

EXHIBIT 10

Kelly Ray Ahlgren August 10, 2005

Designation	Objections
87:8–88:20	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
109:6-20	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
131:6–20	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
185:6-186:10	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time)

In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Cryovac's Objections to Pechiney's Deposition Designations¹

Karl Deily August 19, 2005

Designation	Objections
10:9-11:22	FRE 402
	FRE 403
33:2-17	FRE 402
	FRE 403
36:13-:52:12	FRE 402
	FRE 403
72:5-74:19	FRE 402
	FRE 403
78:17-82:20	FRE 402
	FRE 403
86:20-93:21	FRE 402
	FRE 403
94:13-98:19	FRE 402
	FRE 403
100:3-106:18	FRE 402
	FRE 403
116:7-22	FRE 402
	FRE 403
117:1-119:6	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
127:4-128:10	FRE 402
	FRE 403
145:11-13	FRE 402
	FRE 403
148:19-22	FRE 402
	FRE 403
159:5-10	FRE 402
	FRE 403
165:5-169:9	FRE 402
	FRE 403
173:22	FRE 402
	FRE 403

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Cryovac's Objections to Pechinev's Deposition Designations¹

Page 36 of 68

Karl Deily
August 19, 2005 (Continued)

Designation	Objections
182:10-12	FRE 402
	FRE 403
183:13-14	FRE 402
	FRE 403
185:15-186:3	FRE 402
	FRE 403
186:12-13	FRE 402
	FRE 403
236:4	FRE 402
	FRE 403
238:11	FRE 402
	FRE 403
239:20	FRE 402
	FRE 403
244:10-246:6	FRE 402
	FRE 403
251:3	FRE 402
	FRE 403
253:3-255:2	FRE 402
	FRE 403
256:2-17	FRE 402
	FRE 403
257:3-4	FRE 402
	FRE 403
258:2-3	FRE 402
	FRE 403
258:21	FRE 402
	FRE 403
259:15-16	FRE 402
	FRE 403
261:1-3	FRE 402
0040	FRE 403
286:2	FRE 402
	FRE 403

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Karl Deily
August 19, 2005 (Continued)

Designation	Objections
291:11	FRE 402
	FRE 403
291:22	FRE 402
	FRE 403
292:13-14	FRE 402
	FRE 403
292:22-293:1	FRE 402
	FRE 403
297:10-11	FRE 402
	FRE 403
302:12-13	FRE 402
	FRE 403
303:9-10	FRE 402
	FRE 403
305:6	FRE 402
	FRE 403
305:20-307:13	FRE 402
	FRE 403

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Ennis M. Fant July 19, 2005

Designation	Objections
55:21–56:9	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
89:22–92:5	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
102:22-103:7	FRE 701 (improper lay opinion)
127:20-128:22	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)

In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Steven L. Fuller August 18, 2005

Designation	Objections
84:10-13	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
84:21-85:3	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
85:9-22	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
91:14-21	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Jeffrey Gardner August 2, 2005

Designation	Objections
11:12-12:4	FRE 402
	FRE 403
23:1-3	FRE 402
26:1-10	FRE 402
44:2-3	FRE 402
	FRE 403
45:4	FRE 402
	FRE 403
45:22	FRE 402
	FRE 403
48:21	FRE 402
	FRE 403
49:18	FRE 402
	FRE 403
52:14	FRE 402
•	FRE 403
53:19	FRE 402
	FRE 403
57:21	FRE 402
	FRE 403
58:12	FRE 402
	FRE 403
59:22-60:1	FRE 402
	FRE 403
64:3	FRE 402
	FRE 403
95:2-11	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
	FRE 801
96:8-14	FRE 701
	FRE 801

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Jeffrey Gardner August 2, 2005 (Continued)

¥,

Designation	Objections
100:13-102:10	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 701
129:1-8	FRE 402
	FRE 602
129:9-12	FRE 402
	FRE 403
129:17-130:18	FRE 402
130:22-131:17	FRE 402
	FRE 602
131:18-132:11	FRE 402
134:18-144:5	FRE 402
	FRE 403
171:12-173:2	FRE 402
	FRE 403
177:13-179:4	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
	FRE 701
179:5	FRE 402
	FRE 403
179:6-180:19	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
	FRE 701
180:20-183:17	FRE 402
	FRE 403
184:15-189:11	FRE 402
	FRE 403
189:12-191:10	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Jeffrey Gardner August 2, 2005 (Continued)

<u>Designation</u>	Objections
191:11-20	FRE 402
	FRE 403
191:21-193:13	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
193:14-194:9	FRE 402
,	FRE 403
202:1-204:1	FRE 402
	FRE 403
209:6-212:11	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
212:15-216:8	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
216:9-22	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
	FRE 801
217:1-3	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 802
217:4-218:16	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
218:17-220:5	FRE 402
	FRE 403
220:11-221:21	FRE 402
•	FRE 403
226:14-227:6	FRE 402
257:14-259:11	FRE 402
	FRE 403
258:16	FRE 402
	FRE 403

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Steven B. Garland August 9, 2005

<u>Designation</u>	Objections
26:8–27:18	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
38:21-40:13	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
53:6-20	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
100:6–10	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
101:18-102:15	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
110:11-16	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
111:6-12	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
113:7-8	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
114:12-115:10	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
133:7–21	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
136:4-137:5	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
150:9-152:12	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
155:1-21	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
162:3-5	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
162:10-18	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
163:19-168:15	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
169:11-15	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Steve James September 7, 2005

<u>Designation</u>	Objections
12:2	FRE 402
	FRE 403
14:13-16	FRE 402
	FRE 403
15:10-16	FRE 402
10.10 10	FRE 403
	FRE 701
16:4-8	FRE 402
10110	FRE 403
	FRE 701
16:9	FRE 402
2015	FRE 403
16:10	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 701
21:1-25	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 801
22:1-13	FRE 402
	FRE 403
26:13-24	FRE 402
	FRE 403
27:14	FRE 402
	FRE 403
28:1 - 29:19	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
	FRE 701
	FRE 801

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Steve James
September 7, 2005 (Continued)

<u>Designation</u>	Objections
30:9-11	FRE 402
	FRE 403
30:12-15	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
	FRE 701
31:11	FRE 402
	FRE 403
31:20-23	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
•	FRE 701
31:24-25	FRE 402
	FRE 403
32:1	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 602
	FRE 701
48:20 - 49:12	FRE 602
51:7-9	FRE 402
	FRE 403

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Tommy Kay August 16, 2005

Designation	Objections
39:9-16	FRE 701 (improper lay opinion)
40:12-41:21	FRE 701 (improper lay opinion)
151:2-21	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge) FRE 701 (improper lay opinion)
153:14-18	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge) FRE 701 (improper lay opinion)
157:8–13	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
158:13–159:2	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
161:14–162:1	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
163:8–10	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
167:6–21	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
173:7-174:4	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
174:18-176:15	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge) FRE 701 (improper lay opinion)

In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Tommy Kay August 16, 2005 (continued)

<u>Designation</u>	Objections
176:23-177:5 189:2-190:12	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
198:22–25	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
201:11–14	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) FRE 701 (improper lay opinion) Subject to motion-in-limine

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Robert M. Kimmel July 13, 2005

Cryovac objects to the use of Dr. Kimmel's deposition for any purpose other than contradicting or impeaching Dr. Kimmel pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(1). Dr. Kimmel is not an officer, director, managing agent or 30(b)(6) witness and thus his deposition testimony is not admissible pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(2). Nor is his testimony permitted pursuant to any of the subparagraphs of Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(3). In addition, Cryovac objects to Pechiney's specific designations from Dr. Kimmel's transcript as follows:

Designation	<u>Objections</u>
25:3-14	FRE 403 (jury confusion) (subject to motion-in-limine)
33:12-22	FRE 403 (jury confusion) (subject to motion-in-limine)
57:11-18	FRE 403 (jury confusion) (subject to motion-in-limine)
61:14-63:17	FRE 403 (jury confusion) (subject to motion-in-limine)
72:8-74:1	FRE 403 (jury confusion) (subject to motion-in-limine)
75:2-14	FRE 403 (jury confusion) (subject to motion-in-limine)
81:8-20	FRE 403 (jury confusion) (subject to motion-in-limine)
161:6-22	FRE 403 (jury confusion) (subject to motion-in-limine)
258:8-12	FRE 403 (jury confusion) (subject to motion-in-limine)

In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

James Mize August 17, 2005

Designation	Objections
30:4-5	FRE 402 FRE 403
63:17-18	FRE 402 FRE 403
64:5-6	FRE 402 FRE 403
64:14-15	FRE 402 FRE 403
65:8-9	FRE 402 FRE 403
66:3-5	FRE 402 FRE 403
66:17-18	FRE 402 FRE 403
67:6-7	FRE 402 FRE 403
67:15-16	FRE 402 FRE 403
69:9-10	FRE 402 FRE 403
75:12	FRE 402 FRE 403
94:16-94:21	FRE 602

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

James Mize
August 17, 2005 (Continued)

<u>Designation</u>	Objections
94:22	FRE 402 FRE 403
95:1-3	FRE 602
95:5-7	FRE 602
95:8-9	FRE 402 FRE 403
95:10-16	FRE 602
96:5	FRE 402 FRE 403
100:19	FRE 402 FRE 403
101:3	FRE 402 FRE 403
101:8-22	FRE 402 FRE 403 Outside Scope of 30(b)(6)
104:15-16	FRE 402 FRE 403
105:14-19	FRE 402 FRE 403
106:15-22	FRE 402 FRE 403

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

James Mize August 17, 2005 (Continued)

Designation	Objections
107:7-13	FRE 402 FRE 403
108:4-8	Outside Scope of 30(b)(6)
108:9-11	FRE 402 FRE 403
108:13-14	Outside Scope of 30(b)(6)
109:12-16	FRE 402 FRE 403
111:3-4	FRE 402 FRE 403
111:18-112:1	FRE 402 FRE 403
139:10-12	FRE 402 FRE 403
139:21	FRE 402 FRE 403
154:2-157:1	FRE 402 602
157:2-:165:8	802 FRE 402 FRE 403
165:9-166:7	FRE 802

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

James Mize
August 17, 2005 (Continued)

Designation	Objections
169:3-185:13	FRE 402 FRE 403
189:17-211:21	FRE 402 FRE 403
212:8-22	FRE 402 FRE 403
217:1-220:2	FRE 402 FRE 403
220:4-222:17	FRE 402 FRE 403 FRE 602
222:19-228:2	FRE 402 FRE 403
231:11-14	FRE 402 FRE 403 FRE 602
232:20-234:14	FRE 402 FRE 403 FRE 602
234:22-236:12	FRE 402 FRE 403 FRE 602
238:13-243:6	FRE 402 FRE 403 FRE 602

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Stuart Prosser September 14, 2005

Designation	Objections
10:19-11:7	FRE 402
,	FRE 403
14:17-15:6	FRE 402
	FRE 403
18:8-20:10	FRE 402
	FRE 403
22:9-23:24	FRE 402
	FRE 403
28:17-30:24	FRE 402
	FRE 403
38:13-40:7	FRE 402
	FRE 403
41:1-44:19	FRE 402
	FRE 403
46:4-48:2	FRE 402
	FRE 403

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Mark Quatt August 12, 2005

Cryovac objects to the use of Mr. Quatt's deposition for any purpose other than contradicting or impeaching Mr. Quatt pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(1). Mr. Quatt is not an officer, director, managing agent or 30(b)(6) witness and thus his deposition testimony is not admissible pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(2). Nor is his testimony permitted pursuant to any of the subparagraphs of Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(3). In addition, Cryovac objects to Pechiney's specific designations from Mr. Quatt's transcript as follows:

Designation	Objections
15:12–16	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
15:18–16:6	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
17:18–18:2	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
46:17–19	FRE 403 (unfair prejudice) FRE 603 (unsworn)
105:7–108:6	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
115:17–22	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
116:4–117:6	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
124:10–16	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
126:2-127:16	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine

In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Mark Quatt

August 12, 2005 (continued)

<u>Designation</u>	Objections
128:14–129:8	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
150:11–151:4	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
163:15-164:16	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
202:7–12	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
206:11-207:5	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
210:10-212:1	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge) FRE 701 (improper lay opinion)

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Gautam P. Shah August 11, 2005

Designation	Objections
14:18-16:20	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
17:8 - 19:15	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
27:2-28:5	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
151:10-16	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (unfair prejudice) FRE 603 (unsworn attorney colloquy)
171:3–21	FRE 701 (improper lay opinion)
172:3-8	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion)
173:2-11	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
177:3–9	FRE 403 (jury confusion)
178:13–179:11	FRE 403 (jury confusion)
194:2–11	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion) Subject to motion-in-limine

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Gautam P. Shah

August 11, 2005 (Continued)

Designation	Objections
194:22–195:13	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion) Subject to motion-in-limine
197:13–199:2	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion) Subject to motion-in-limine
201:3–14	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion) Subject to motion-in-limine
245:15–252:15	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
254:4–255:14	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion)

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Gautam P. Shah Rule 30(b)(6) August 11, 2005

Designation	Objections
20:4–12	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
20:14-21:21	FRE 403 (unfair prejudice; jury confusion)
23:19-27:9	FRE 403 (unfair prejudice; jury confusion) FRE 603 (unsworn attorney colloquy)
39:6-40:15	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
49:15–50:2	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

F. David Stringer August 19, 2005

Designation	Objections
19:25–20:9	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
80:13-81:1	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
114:14-115:1	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
124:25–125:23	FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion; waste of time) Subject to motion-in-limine
145:20–146:8	FRE 701 (improper lay opinion) FRE 403 (jury confusion; unfair prejudice)

In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Terry Wilkerson August 24, 2005

Designation	Objections
10:5-8	FRE 402 FRE 403
10:13-19	FRE 402 FRE 403
14:7-19	FRE 602
18:14-19:2	FRE 402 FRE 403 FRE 602
20:25-23:3	FRE 602
23:13-25 32:23	FRE 602 FRE 402 FRE 403
32:25-33:8	FRE 402 FRE 403
36:25-39:4	FRE 402
40:8-10	FRE 402
42:21-43:16	FRE 402
47:15-18	FRE 402 FRE 403
50:20-51:11	FRE 402 FRE 403
57:11-18	FRE 402 FRE 403

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Terry Wilkerson August 24, 2005 (Continued)

Designation	Objections
62:11	FRE 402
V	FRE 403
62:17-19	FRE 402
	FRE 403
63:4-7	FRE 402
	FRE 403
71:10-14	FRE 402
	FRE 403
77:18	FRE 402
	FRE 403
80:1-15	FRE 402
	FRE 403
80:23	FRE 402
	FRE 403
115:24-116:1	FRE 402
	FRE 403
118:23-119:4	FRE 402
	FRE 403
122:10-19	FRE 402
	FRE 403
139:13	FRE 402
	FRE 403
141:18-23	FRE 402
	FRE 403
142:2-3	FRE 402
	FRE 403
143:7-8	FRE 402
	FRE 403
145:6-7	FRE 402
	FRE 403
145:14-16	FRE 402
	FRE 403

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Terry Wilkerson August 24, 2005 (Continued)

Designation	Objections
154:5-24	FRE 402
	FRE 403
157:10-11	FRE 402
	FRE 403
159:8-11	FRE 402
	FRE 403

In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Garth L. Wilkes July 20, 2005

Cryovac objects to the use of Dr. Wilkes' deposition for any purpose other than contradicting or impeaching Dr. Wilkes pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(1). Dr. Wilkes is not an officer, director, managing agent or 30(b)(6) witness and thus his deposition testimony is not admissible pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(2). Nor is his testimony permitted pursuant to any of the subparagraphs of Fed. R. Civ. P. 32(a)(3). In addition, Cryovac objects to Pechiney's specific designations from Dr. Wilkes' transcript as follows:

<u>Designation</u>	Objections
175:15–176:14	Form objections on record FRE 403 (jury confusion)
220:4-222:18	FRE 403 (jury confusion) Subject to motion-in-limine
239:5-12	FRE 403 (jury confusion) Subject to motion-in-limine
240:5-15	FRE 403 (jury confusion) Subject to motion-in-limine
332:4-333:11	Form objection on record FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion)

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Jay Wilson

November 3, 2005

<u>Designation</u>	Objections
13:8	FRE 402 FRE 403
20:19-20	FRE 402 FRE 403
21:4-9	FRE 402 FRE 403 Outside scope of 30(b)(6)
21:10-17	FRE 402 FRE 403
21:18-22:3	FRE 402 FRE 403
22:5-10	Outside scope of 30(b)(6) FRE 402 FRE 403 Outside scope of 30(b)(6)
22:11-14	FRE 402 FRE 403
22:15-17	FRE 402 FRE 403 Outside Scope of 30(b)(6)
23:11	FRE 402 FRE 403
23:8-10; 23:12-18	FRE 402 FRE 403 Outside Scope of 30(b)(6)

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Jay Wilson
November 3, 2005 (Continued)

Designation	Objections
23:20-24:5	FRE 402 FRE 403 Outside Scope of 30(b)(6)
24:6-13	FRE 402 FRE 403 Outside Scope of 30(b)(6)
24:14-25:5	FRE 402 FRE 403 Outside Scope of 30(b)(6)
25:9-17	FRE 402 FRE 403
31:11-39:19	FRE 402 FRE 403
50:5-51:20; 51:22-52:2 52:3-52:17	FRE 402 FRE 403
67:9-21	FRE 402 FRE 403 FRE 602
69:9-70:5	FRE 402 FRE 403
73:19-78:8	FRE 402 FRE 403
83:5-84:13	FRE 402 FRE 403

In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Jay Wilson
November 3, 2005 (Continued)

Designation	Objections
86:2-87:16	FRE 402 FRE 403
88:13-93:14	FRE 402 FRE 403
98:5-103:1	FRE 402 FRE 403
103:21-104:5	FRE 402 FRE 403
105:7-107:21	FRE 402 FRE 403
108:8-110:13	FRE 402 FRE 403
113:10-114:6	FRE 402 FRE 403 FRE 602

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

George Wofford August 12, 2005

Designation	Objections
80:8-81:3	Subject to motion-in-limine FRE 402 (lack of relevance) FRE 403 (jury confusion)
168:5-169:6	FRE 602 (lack of personal knowledge)
179:20-181:13	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
185:19-186:6	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
186:21-187:5	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
187:22-189:1	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
190:10-15	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
191:5-192:4	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
193:4-20	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)
201:18-202:2	FRE 402 (lack of relevance)

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.

Anthony York August 9, 2005

Designation	Objections
28:7-8	FRE 402
	FRE 403
52:11-53:11	FRE 402
	FRE 403
200:4-17	FRE 402
	FRE 403
200:18-201:1	FRE 402
	FRE 403
	FRE 802
238:5-19	FRE 802
239:4-7	FRE 802
243:15-245:2	FRE 802
278:2-5	FRE 402
278:13-22	FRE 402
281:5-11	FRE 402
284:19-22	FRE 402
285:1-6	FRE 402
	FRE 802
286:5-288:1	FRE 402
289:7-17	FRE 402
291:4-292:2	FRE 402
294:2-297:8	FRE 402
297:19-298:1	FRE 402
318:13-319:11	FRE 402

¹ In addition to the specific objections noted herein, Cryovac generally objects to Pechiney's inclusion of attorney colloquy, objections, and unanswered questions in their designations. Such designations are inadmissible under FRE 603.